

EXHIBIT D

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
NORTHERN DIVISION**

JERMAINE DOCKERY, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-326-WHB-JCG
)	
PELICIA HALL, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

DECLARATION OF CRAIG W. HANEY, Ph.D, J.D.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I make the following declaration under penalty of perjury:

1. This declaration is a response to the Mississippi Department of Corrections’ (“MDOC’s”) Motion to Exclude Testimony of Dr. Terry A. Kupers and Dr. Bruce C. Gage and Combined Memorandum Brief in Support of Motion (“Motion”). Specifically, in this declaration, I assess the methodology utilized by Dr. Gage in his evaluation of mental health care at the East Mississippi Correctional Facility (“EMCF”) and the methodology used by Dr. Kupers to form his opinions regarding conditions of confinement in isolation at EMCF and the psychological impact of those conditions on prisoners housed in them.

2. I have reviewed the reports submitted by Dr. Kupers in 2014 and 2016 and the report Dr. Gage submitted in 2016. I have considered the scope of Dr. Kupers’ and Dr. Gage’s assignment and assessed the sources of data each expert relied on in coming to their conclusions. I have also read MDOC’s Motion.

3. I have attached a copy of my *curriculum vitae* as Exhibit 1.

Qualifications

4. I am a Professor of Psychology at the University of California, Santa Cruz, where I have taught since 1977. I teach graduate and undergraduate courses in research methodology, social psychology, and psychology and law. I have served in the past in several leadership positions at the University of California, including as Chair of the Department of Psychology, Chair of the Department of Sociology, Director of the Legal Studies Program, and the Director of the Graduate Program in Social Psychology.

5. I am a Distinguished Professor in the University of California system, a distinction reserved for professors who have reached the very highest level of the professoriate, after being nominated by our respective universities and undergoing a national and international review. I also currently hold the UC Presidential Chair, 2015-2018, a position awarded to a faculty member on each UC campus in recognition of the excellence of his or her record of research.

6. I received a bachelor's degree in psychology from the University of Pennsylvania, and an M.A. and Ph.D. in Psychology and a J.D. degree from Stanford University, and I have been the recipient of a number of scholarships, fellowships, and other academic awards.

7. I specialize in the general area of “psychology and law”—the application of psychological methods, data, and theory to a variety of legal questions and issues—and my specific areas of expertise include the assessment of institutional environments, especially the psychological effects of incarceration.

8. I have published numerous scholarly articles and book chapters on topics in law and psychology, including encyclopedia and handbook chapters on the backgrounds and social

histories of persons accused of violent crimes, the psychological effects of imprisonment, and the nature and consequences of solitary or “supermax” confinement. In particular, my 2003 article, *Mental Health Issues in Long-Term Solitary and “Supermax” Confinement*, is one of the most frequently-cited academic articles on the psychological effects of solitary confinement.¹ In addition to these scholarly articles and book chapters, I have solely authored two books, Death by Design: Capital Punishment as a Social Psychological System (Oxford University Press, 2005), and Reforming Punishment: Psychological Limits to the Pains of Imprisonment (American Psychological Association Books, 2006) and, along with a committee of the National Academy of Sciences, co-authored a third, The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring the Causes and Consequences (National Academy Press, 2014). I am currently working on a fourth.

9. I have been directly involved as an expert witness in prison litigation since the late 1970s, drafting expert reports and testifying to my findings regarding conditions in correctional facilities. In addition to the prison cases in which I have been directly involved, because prison litigation, prisoners’ rights, and the nature and effect of prison conditions are primary areas of academic inquiry for me, I have made a point of acquiring and maintaining knowledge about how such cases are litigated more generally (including those cases in which I am not directly involved). I have therefore reviewed the reports and testimony of numerous other experts in the fields of mental health services and isolated confinement, and am familiar with their methodologies in reaching their opinions and conclusions.

10. In the course of my academic work in psychology and law, I have lectured and given invited addresses throughout the United States and internationally on the role of social and

¹ Craig Haney, *Mental Health Issues in Long-Term Solitary and “Supermax” Confinement*, 49 *Crime & Delinq.* 124 (2003).

institutional histories in explaining criminal violence, the psychological effects of living and working in institutional settings (typically maximum security prisons), and the psychological consequences of solitary confinement. I have given these lectures and addresses at various law schools, bar associations, university campuses, and numerous professional psychology organizations such as the American Psychological Association.

11. I also have served as a consultant to numerous governmental, law enforcement, and legal agencies and organizations, including the Palo Alto Police Department, various California Legislative Select Committees, the National Science Foundation, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the United States Department of Homeland Security, and the United States Department of Justice.

12. I have worked closely with the United States Department of Justice throughout my career. From 1980-1990, I was a consultant for the Department of Justice, assisting them with cases by evaluating institutional conditions. For example, I evaluated the psychological effects of conditions of confinement at Washington State Penitentiary in Walla Walla, which were at issue in *Hoptowit v. Ray*, 682 F.2d 1237 (9th Cir. 1982), and evaluated conditions of confinement and the nature of patient care at California's Atascadero State Hospital from 1983-1984 in an action brought under the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act of 1980. From 2004 to 2008, I also served as a trainer/instructor for the Federal Bureau of Prisons and Department of Justice "Correctional Excellence" Program at the National Institute of Corrections Management Training, providing instruction concerning conditions of confinement and psychological stresses of living and working in correctional environments to mid-level management corrections professionals. For example, in 2004 I taught a course on "The Effects of Prison Conditions on Prisoners and Guards: Using Psychological Theory and Data to

Understand Prison Behavior” and in 2007 I taught a course on “The Psychology of Imprisonment: How Prison Conditions Affect Prisoners and Correctional Officers.”

13. As I noted in passing above, I also recently served as a member of a committee of the nation’s most esteemed scientific organization, the National Academy of Sciences. Our committee was charged with the responsibility of scientifically analyzing the causes and consequences of the high rates of incarceration in the United States and proposing recommendations for reform.²

14. My academic interest in the psychological effects of various prison conditions is long-standing and dates back to 1971, when I was still a graduate student. I was one of the principal researchers in what has come to be known as the “Stanford Prison Experiment,” in which my colleagues Philip Zimbardo, Curtis Banks, and I randomly assigned normal, psychologically healthy college students to the roles of either “prisoner” or “guard” within a simulated prison environment that we had created in the basement of the Psychology Department at Stanford University. The study has since come to be regarded as a seminal study in the field, demonstrating the power of institutional settings to change and transform the people who enter them.

15. Since then I have been studying the psychological effects of living and working in real (as opposed to simulated) institutional environments, including juvenile facilities, mainline adult prison and jail settings, and specialized correctional housing units (such as solitary and “supermax”-type confinement). In the course of that work, I have toured and inspected

² The analysis and recommendations appear in: *The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring the Causes and Consequences* (with Jeremy Travis, Bruce Western, et al.) [Report of the National Academy of Sciences Committee on the Causes and Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration in the United States.] Washington, DC: National Academy Press (2014).

numerous maximum security state prisons and related facilities (in Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, California, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Washington), many maximum security federal prisons (including the Administrative Maximum or “ADX” facility in Florence, Colorado), as well as prisons in Canada, Cuba, Great Britain, Hungary, Ireland, Mexico, and Norway. I also have conducted numerous interviews with correctional officials, corrections officers, and prisoners to assess the impact of penal confinement, and analyzed aggregate data from numerous correctional documents and official records to examine the effects of specific conditions of confinement on the quality of prison life and the ability of prisoners to adjust to them.

16. I have been qualified and have testified as an expert in numerous federal courts, including United States District Courts in Arkansas, California, Georgia, Texas, and Washington, and in numerous state courts, including courts in Colorado, Florida, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Utah, and Wyoming as well as, in California, the Superior Courts of Alameda, Calaveras, Kern, Los Angeles, Marin, Mariposa, Monterey, Orange, Sacramento, San Diego, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Tulare, Ventura, and Yolo counties. My research, writing, and testimony have been cited by state courts, including the California Supreme Court, and by United States District Courts, Courts of Appeals, and the United States Supreme Court.

17. Highly relevant to the present purpose of this declaration, I regularly teach graduate courses in research methods, including sampling methodology, in the social psychology Ph.D. program at the University of California, Santa Cruz.

Methodology of Assessing Correctional Health Care Systems

18. I have been asked my opinion of the validity of the assertion by Defendants that the methodology used by Plaintiffs' experts is not consistent with rigorous scientific methodology.

19. After reviewing their expert reports, it is my opinion that Dr. Kupers' and Dr. Gage's methodology in this case was consistent with the methodology used by experts in nearly every prison conditions lawsuit with which I am familiar.

20. In their Motion, Defendants challenge Dr. Kupers' and Dr. Gage's methodology because neither expert waited for depositions to be taken in the case before writing their reports and because they only conducted "non-clinical interviews of a handful of subjectively-selected inmates." Motion at 1.

21. My education, training, and academic and professional experience have taught me that the propriety, validity, or correctness of any particular method of collecting data is determined by the context in which it is implemented and the purposes for which it is used. In this case, Defendants' criticisms of Dr. Kupers' and Dr. Gage's methodology are inapposite.

22. Based on my review of their reports and my knowledge and experience as a professor of social psychology and someone who teaches graduate research methods, both Dr. Kupers and Dr. Gage used entirely appropriate methodology to render opinions on the psychiatric effects of conditions of confinement and the mental health services at EMCF. Both experts were guided by scientific research in the conclusions that they reached and by scientific methods in the data collection that they undertook as part of their empirical investigation.

23. The methods and approaches that Dr. Kupers and Dr. Gage followed in this case were already established when I began serving as an expert for the United States Department of

Justice in institutional litigation in the late 1970s [e.g., *Hoptowit v. Ray*, 682 F.2d 1237 (9th Cir. 1982)]. Experts like myself who worked with the Special Litigation Section of the Department of Justice in those early years have continued to employ these approaches and methods up to the present time. Indeed, experts who work with Department of Justice still operate in basically the same way: examining documents, conducting tours, interviewing prisoners, and when permitted, speaking with staff.

24. Most recently, last year, I used a nearly identical methodology when assessing conditions in the prisons of the Alabama Department of Corrections (ADOC). As I wrote in that report:

In the course of evaluating and forming opinions about these issues, I utilized the methodology that I typically employ to evaluate conditions of confinement and policies and practices in a correctional facility or prison system. Based on my experience conducting such evaluations over the last forty years, my methodology is consistent with the methodology used by other mental health and corrections experts.

Thus, as part of this methodology, I have reviewed and relied on a wide range of documents provided by counsel for Plaintiffs.... In addition to those documents, I conducted a number of onsite tours and inspections at various ADOC facilities ... in the course of which I conducted in-passing interviews with ADOC and MHM staff members (as was permitted in this case by counsel for the defendants), as well as an extensive number of both cell-front and individual, confidential interviews with prisoners at each facility I toured. Also, time permitting during my site visits to different correctional facilities, I typically reviewed a sample of the official ADOC files and records that pertained to (at least) some of the prisoners whom I interviewed.³

25. This methodology is consistent with that employed by Drs. Kupers and Gage, both of whom engaged in lengthy in-person tours of the facility (in Dr. Kupers' case, more than

³ Expert Report of Craig Haney, *Dunn v. Dunn*, No. 2:14-cv-00601 (M.D. Ala.), Dkt. No. 555-6, at 11.

once), conducted extensive review of those documents available to them, privately interviewed prisoners, and were permitted to ask only incidental questions of staff.

26. The methodology also matches that employed by the Department of Justice's recent investigation of the Pennsylvania prison system. The methodology used in that investigation was virtually identical to the one Plaintiffs' experts have used in this case. The Department of Justice attorneys and their expert consultants "conducted on-site inspections" of a number of correctional facilities in Pennsylvania in August 2013, during which they "reviewed documents" that included "policies and procedures, medical and mental health records, cell histories, incident reports, disciplinary reports, suicide reports, and unit logs," and just as Drs. Kupers and Gage did, they also "observed prisoners in various settings throughout the facilities."⁴

27. Defendants also criticize Plaintiffs' experts for what they characterize as an insufficient number of prisoners whom they have interviewed and on whose information they in part rely. Although they do not specify the number of interviews they would find acceptable, they maintain that however many interviews Plaintiffs' experts conducted, they were too few in number. It is important to note that even a comparatively small number of interviewees, if properly selected, can provide a great deal of insight into the experiences of the larger population.

28. Drs. Kupers and Gage conducted numerous individual interviews with patients. In 2016, Dr. Kupers conducted 23 private prisoner interviews and Dr. Gage conducted 22 private prisoner interviews. These numbers do not include the group interviews Dr. Kupers and Dr.

⁴ United States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, *Findings Letter: Investigation of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections' Use of Solitary Confinement on Prisoners With Mental Illness and/or Intellectual Disability*, February 24, 2014, p. 4.
https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/crt/legacy/2014/02/25/pdoc_finding_2-24-14.pdf

Gage conducted, or the prisoners Dr. Kupers individually interviewed in 2014. Dr. Kupers targeted units where prisoners were held in isolated conditions, which is entirely appropriate given his charge. Dr. Gage targeted medical health, segregation, and infirmary units because of the density of pathology in these units, which was also appropriate given his focus on the provision of mental health care at EMCF.

29. Drs. Kupers and Gage interviewed a more than adequate number of prisoners. In my experience, it is not necessary to interview a larger sample to get an adequate understanding of the conditions at a facility. Turning again to the Department of Justice investigation of the Pennsylvania prison system—a system that includes 26 facilities holding approximately 50,000 prisoners, including nearly 3,000 who are in solitary or isolated confinement—Department of Justice expert-consultants only interviewed and/or reviewed the records of approximately two dozen prisoners who “were seriously harmed by their conditions in solitary confinement in various ways, including mental deterioration, increased psychosis, and acts of self-harm and suicide.”⁵

30. In their reports, Dr. Kupers and Dr. Gage document widespread deficiencies in EMCF’s administration of mental health care and extensively document the detrimental effects of solitary confinement on the patients they interviewed. In light of the serious nature of the adverse effects they identified, their findings point to significant and pervasive harm from the deficiencies in mental health care and the conditions in solitary confinement. Speaking with 200, 500, or 1,000 additional prisoners would not have diminished these findings, although it might have added to them.

⁵ *Id.* at 3.

31. Defendants' Motion notably does not specify what number of interviews or what method of selection would have been sufficient to create reliable findings. They present no factual demonstrations of how the approaches that were used by Plaintiffs' experts actually were biased or produced data that were unrepresentative. Indeed they cannot, as Dr. Kupers and Dr. Gage used accepted and reliable methods appropriate for the task asked of them.

32. Neither Dr. Gage nor Dr. Kupers were tasked with conducting a quantitative study of conditions of confinement or the system for providing mental health care services. Such comprehensive, statistical studies are simply not performed in the contexts in which they, I, and other correctional mental health and isolation experts perform our observations and analyses in litigation such as this. Indeed, the methodology that Dr. Gage and Dr. Kupers employed in this case was entirely consistent with the approach that other experts have taken to accomplish these tasks in other prison litigation cases. It is used in such cases not only because it has become the standard or "benchmark" approach, but also because it provides a very sound basis on which to reach conclusions about the nature and effect of prison conditions and practices in a particular prison or prison system. These methods and approaches are followed as a matter of course in this kind of litigation, by literally every competent expert who undertakes this work.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 30th day of August, 2017, at Santa Cruz, CA.

Craig W. Haney Ph.D., J.D.
CRAIG W. HANEY, PH.D., J.D.

EXHIBIT 1

CURRICULUM VITAE

Craig William Haney
Distinguished Professor of Psychology
UC Presidential Chair, 2015-2018
University of California, Santa Cruz 95064

Co-Director,
UC Consortium on Criminal Justice Healthcare

home address: 317 Ocean View Ave.
Santa Cruz, California 95062
phone: (831) 459-2153
fax: (831) 425-3664
email: psylaw@ucsc.edu

PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT

2015-2018	University of California Presidential Chair
2014-present	Distinguished Professor of Psychology, University of California, Santa Cruz
1985-2014	University of California, Santa Cruz, Professor of Psychology
1981-85	University of California, Santa Cruz, Associate Professor of Psychology
1978-81	University of California, Santa Cruz, Assistant Professor of Psychology
1977-78	University of California, Santa Cruz, Lecturer in Psychology
1976-77	Stanford University, Acting Assistant Professor of Psychology

EDUCATION

1978	Stanford Law School, J.D.
------	---------------------------

1978	Stanford University, Ph.D. (Psychology)
1972	Stanford University, M.A. (Psychology)
1970	University of Pennsylvania, B.A.

HONORS AWARDS GRANTS

2016	Vera Institute of Justice “Reimagining Prisons” Initiative Advisory Council. Psychology Department “Most Inspiring Lecturer”
2015	University of California Presidential Chair (2015-2018 Term) Martin F. Chemers Award for Outstanding Research in Social Science Excellence in Teaching Award (Academic Senate Committee on Teaching). President’s Research Catalyst Award for “UC Consortium on Criminal Justice Healthcare” (with Brie Williams and Scott Allen). Vera Institute of Justice “Safe Alternatives to Segregation” (SAS) Initiative Advisory Council. Who’s Who in Psychology (Top 20 Psychology Professors in California) [http://careersinpsychology.org/psychology-degrees-schools-employment-ca/#ca-psych-prof]
2014	Distinguished Faculty Research Lecturer, University of California, Santa Cruz.
2013	Distinguished Plenary Speaker, American Psychological Association Annual Convention.
2012	Appointed to National Academy of Sciences Committee to Study the Causes and Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration in the United States. Invited Expert Witness, United States Senate, Judiciary Committee.

- 2011 Edward G. Donnelly Memorial Speaker, University of West Virginia Law School.
- 2009 Nominated as American Psychological Foundation William Bevan Distinguished Lecturer.
- Psi Chi “Best Lecturer” Award (by vote of UCSC undergraduate psychology majors).
- 2006 Herbert Jacobs Prize for Most Outstanding Book published on law and society in 2005 (from the Law & Society Association, for Death by Design).
- Nominated for National Book Award (by American Psychological Association Books, for Reforming Punishment: Psychological Limits to the Pains of Imprisonment).
- “Dream course” instructor in psychology and law, University of Oklahoma.
- 2005 Annual Distinguished Faculty Alumni Lecturer, University of California, Santa Cruz.
- Arthur C. Helton Human Rights Award from the American Immigration Lawyers Association (co-recipient).
- Scholar-in-Residence, Center for Social Justice, Boalt Hall School of Law (University of California, Berkeley).
- 2004 “Golden Apple Award” for Distinguished Teaching, awarded by the Social Sciences Division, University of California, Santa Cruz.
- National Science Foundation Grant to Study Capital Jury Decision-making
- 2002 Santa Cruz Alumni Association Distinguished Teaching Award, University of California, Santa Cruz.
- United States Department of Health & Human Services/Urban Institute, “Effects of Incarceration on Children, Families, and Low-Income Communities” Project.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science/American Academy of Forensic Science Project: “Scientific Evidence Summit” Planning Committee.
- Teacher of the Year (UC Santa Cruz Re-Entry Students’ Award).

- 2000 Invited Participant White House Forum on the Uses of Science and Technology to Improve National Crime and Prison Policy.
- Excellence in Teaching Award (Academic Senate Committee on Teaching).
- Joint American Association for the Advancement of Science-American Bar Association Science and Technology Section National Conference of Lawyers and Scientists.
- 1999 American Psychology-Law Society Presidential Initiative Invitee (“Reviewing the Discipline: A Bridge to the Future”)
- National Science Foundation Grant to Study Capital Jury Decision-making (renewal and extension).
- 1997 National Science Foundation Grant to Study Capital Jury Decision-making.
- 1996 Teacher of the Year (UC Santa Cruz Re-Entry Students’ Award).
- 1995 Gordon Allport Intergroup Relations Prize (Honorable Mention)
- Excellence in Teaching Convocation, Social Sciences Division
- 1994 Outstanding Contributions to Preservation of Constitutional Rights, California Attorneys for Criminal Justice.
- 1992 Psychology Undergraduate Student Association Teaching Award
- SR 43 Grant for Policy-Oriented Research With Linguistically Diverse Minorities
- 1991 Alumni Association Teaching Award (“Favorite Professor”)
- 1990 Prison Law Office Award for Contributions to Prison Litigation
- 1989 UC Mexus Award for Comparative Research on Mexican Prisons
- 1976 Hilmer Oehlmann Jr. Award for Excellence in Legal Writing at Stanford Law School
- 1975-76 Law and Psychology Fellow, Stanford Law School
- 1974-76 Russell Sage Foundation Residency in Law and Social Science

1974 Gordon Allport Intergroup Relations Prize, Honorable Mention

1969-71 University Fellow, Stanford University

1969-74 Society of Sigma Xi

1969 B.A. Degree Magna cum laude with Honors in Psychology

 Phi Beta Kappa

1967-1969 University Scholar, University of Pennsylvania

UNIVERSITY SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION

2010-2016 Director, Legal Studies Program

2010-2014 Director, Graduate Program in Social Psychology

2009 Chair, Legal Studies Review Committee

2004-2006 Chair, Committee on Academic Personnel

1998-2002 Chair, Department of Psychology

1994-1998 Chair, Department of Sociology

1992-1995 Chair, Legal Studies Program

1995 (Fall) Committee on Academic Personnel

1995-1996 University Committee on Academic Personnel (UCAP)

1990-1992 Committee on Academic Personnel

1991-1992 Chair, Social Science Division Academic Personnel
 Committee

1984-1986 Chair, Committee on Privilege and Tenure

WRITINGS AND OTHER CREATIVE ACTIVITIES IN PROGRESS

Books:

Context and Criminality: Deconstructing the Crime Master Narrative (working title, in preparation for APA Books).

Articles:

“The Psychological Foundations of Capital Mitigation: Why Social Historical Factors Are Central to Assessing Culpability,” in preparation.

PUBLISHED WRITINGS AND CREATIVE ACTIVITIES

Books

- 2014 The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring the Causes and Consequences (with Jeremy Travis, Bruce Western, et al.). [Report of the National Academy of Sciences Committee on the Causes and Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration in the United States.] Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- 2006 Reforming Punishment: Psychological Limits to the Pains of Imprisonment, Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Books.
- 2005 Death by Design: Capital Punishment as a Social Psychological System. New York: Oxford University Press.

Monographs and Technical Reports

- 1989 Employment Testing and Employment Discrimination (with A. Hurtado). Technical Report for the National Commission on Testing and Public Policy. New York: Ford Foundation.

Articles in Professional Journals and Book Chapters

- 2017 Special Issue: “Translating Research into Policy to Advance Correctional Health” (guest editor with B. Williams, C. Ahalt, S. Allen, & J. Rich), International Journal of Prisoner Health, 13, 1-63.
- “Reducing the Use and Impact of Solitary Confinement in Corrections” (with Cyrus Ahalt, Sarah Rios, Matthew Fox, David

Farabee, and Brie Williams), International Journal of Prisoner Health, 13, 41-48.

“‘Madness’ and Penal Confinement: Observations on Mental Illness and Prison Pain,” Punishment and Society.

2016 “Examining Jail Isolation: What We Don’t Know Can Be Profoundly Harmful” (with Joanna Weill, Shirin Bakhshay, and Tiffany Winslow), The Prison Journal, 96, 126-152.

“On Structural Evil: Disengaging From Our Moral Selves,” Review of the book Moral Disengagement: How People Do Harm and Live With Themselves, by A. Bandura], PsycCRITIQUES, 61(8).

2015 “When Did Prisons Become Acceptable Mental Healthcare Facilities?,” Report of the Stanford Law School Three Strikes Project (with Michael Romano et al.) [available at: http://law.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/default/files/child-page/632655/doc/slspublic/Report_v12.pdf].

“Emotion, Authority, and Death: (Raced) Negotiations in Capital Jury Negotiations” (with Mona Lynch), Law & Social Inquiry, 40, 377-405.

“Prison Overcrowding,” in B. Cutler & P. Zapf (Eds.), APA Handbook of Forensic Psychology (pp. 415-436). Washington, DC: APA Books.

“The Death Penalty” (with Joanna Weill & Mona Lynch), in B. Cutler & P. Zapf (Eds.), APA Handbook of Forensic Psychology (pp. 451-510). Washington, DC: APA Books.

“‘Prisonization’ and Latinas in Alternative High Schools” (with Aida Hurtado & Ruby Hernandez), in J. Hall (Ed.), Routledge Studies in Education and Neoliberalism: Female Students and Cultures of Violence in the City (pp. 113-134). Florence, KY: Routledge.

2014 “How Healthcare Reform Can Transform the Health of Criminal Justice-Involved Individuals” (with Josiah Rich, et al.), Health Affairs, 33:3 (March), 1-6.

- 2013 “Foreword,” for H. Toch, *Organizational Change Through Individual Empowerment: Applying Social Psychology in Prisons and Policing*. Washington, DC: APA Books (in press).
- “Foreword,” for J. Ashford & M. Kupferberg, *Death Penalty Mitigation: A Handbook for Mitigation Specialists, Investigators, Social Scientists, and Lawyers*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 2012 “Politicizing Crime and Punishment: Redefining ‘Justice’ to Fight the ‘War on Prisoners,’” *West Virginia Law Review*, 114, 373-414.
- “Prison Effects in the Age of Mass Imprisonment,” *Prison Journal*, 92, 1-24.
- “The Psychological Effects of Imprisonment,” in J. Petersilia & K. Reitz (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Sentencing and Corrections* (pp. 584-605). New York: Oxford University Press.
- 2011 “The Perversions of Prison: On the Origins of Hypermasculinity and Sexual Violence in Confinement,” *American Criminal Law Review*, 48, 121-141. [Reprinted in: S. Ferguson (Ed.), *Readings in Race, Gender, Sexuality, and Social Class*. Sage Publications (2012).]
- “Mapping the Racial Bias of the White Male Capital Juror: Jury Composition and the ‘Empathic Divide’” (with Mona Lynch), *Law and Society Review*, 45, 69-102.
- “Getting to the Point: Attempting to Improve Juror Comprehension of Capital Penalty Phase Instructions” (with Amy Smith), *Law and Human Behavior*, 35, 339-350.
- “Where the Boys Are: Macro and Micro Considerations for the Study of Young Latino Men’s Educational Achievement” (with A. Hurtado & J. Hurtado), in P. Noguera & A. Hurtado (Eds.), *Understanding the Disenfranchisement of Latino Males: Contemporary Perspectives on Cultural and Structural Factors* (pp. 101-121). New York: Routledge Press.
- “Looking Across the Empathic Divide: Racialized Decision-Making on the Capital Jury” (with Mona Lynch), *Michigan State Law Review*, 2011, 573-608.
- 2010 “Demonizing the ‘Enemy’: The Role of Science in Declaring the ‘War on Prisoners,’” *Connecticut Public Interest Law Review*, 9,

139-196.

“Hiding From the Death Penalty,” Huffington Post, July 26, 2010 [www.huffingtonpost.com/craig-haney/hiding-from-the-death-pen-pen_b_659940.html]; reprinted in Sentencing and Justice Reform Advocate, 2, 3 (February, 2011).

2009 “Capital Jury Deliberation: Effects on Death Sentencing, Comprehension, and Discrimination” (with Mona Lynch), Law and Human Behavior, 33, 481-496.

“The Social Psychology of Isolation: Why Solitary Confinement is Psychologically Harmful,” Prison Service Journal UK (Solitary Confinement Special Issue), Issue 181, 12-20. [Reprinted: California Prison Focus, #36, 1, 14-15 (2011).]

“The Stanford Prison Experiment,” in John Levine & Michael Hogg (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Group Processes and Intergroup Relations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

“Media Criminology and the Death Penalty,” DePaul Law Review, 58, 689-740. (Reprinted: Capital Litigation Update, 2010.)

“On Mitigation as Counter-Narrative: A Case Study of the Hidden Context of Prison Violence,” University of Missouri-Kansas City Law Review, 77, 911-946.

“Persistent Dispositionalism in Interactionist Clothing: Fundamental Attribution Error in Explaining Prison Abuse,” (with P. Zimbardo), Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 35, 807-814.

2008 “Counting Casualties in the War on Prisoners,” University of San Francisco Law Review, 43, 87-138.

“Evolving Standards of Decency: Advancing the Nature and Logic of Capital Mitigation,” Hofstra Law Review, 36, 835-882.

“A Culture of Harm: Taming the Dynamics of Cruelty in Supermax Prisons,” Criminal Justice and Behavior, 35, 956-984.

“The Consequences of Prison Life: Notes on the New Psychology of Prison Effects,” in D. Canter & R. Zukauskienė (Eds.), Psychology and Law: Bridging the Gap (pp. 143-165). Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing.

“The Stanford Prison Experiment,” in J. Bennett & Y. Jewkes (Eds.), Dictionary of Prisons (pp. 278-280). Devon, UK: Willan Publishers.

“Capital Mitigation,” in Brian Cutler (Ed.), The Encyclopedia of Psychology and the Law (pp. 60-63). Volume I. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

“Death Qualification of Juries,” in Brian Cutler (Ed.), The Encyclopedia of Psychology and the Law (pp. 190-192). Volume I. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

“Stanford Prison Experiment,” in Brian Cutler (Ed.), The Encyclopedia of Psychology and the Law (pp. 756-757) (with P. Zimbardo). Volume II. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

“Supermax Prisons,” in Brian Cutler (Ed.), The Encyclopedia of Psychology and the Law (pp. 787-790). Volume II. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

- 2006 “The Wages of Prison Overcrowding: Harmful Psychological Consequences and Dysfunctional Correctional Reactions,” Washington University Journal of Law & Policy, 22, 265-293. [Reprinted in: N. Berlatsky, Opposing Viewpoints: America’s Prisons. Florence, KY: Cengage Learning, 2010.]
- “Exonerations and Wrongful Condemnations: Expanding the Zone of Perceived Injustice in Capital Cases,” Golden Gate Law Review, 37, 131-173.
- “Preface,” D. Jones (Ed.), Humane Prisons. San Francisco, CA: Radcliffe Medical Press.

- 2005 “The Contextual Revolution in Psychology and the Question of Prison Effects,” in Alison Liebling and Shadd Maruna (Eds.), The Effects of Imprisonment (pp. 66-93). Devon, UK: Willan Publishing.
- “Achieving Educational Equity: Beyond Individual Measures of Merit,” (with A. Hurtado), Harvard Journal of Hispanic Policy, 17, 87-92.
- “Conditions of Confinement for Detained Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal,” in M. Hetfield (Ed.), Report on Asylum

Seekers in Expedited Removal. Volume II: Expert Reports.
Washington, DC: United States Commission on International
Religious Freedom.

2004 “Special Issue on the Death Penalty in the United States” (co-edited
with R. Weiner), Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 10, 374-621.

“Death Is Different: An Editorial Introduction” (with R. Wiener),
Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 10, 374-378.

“The Death Penalty in the United States: A Crisis of Conscience”
(with R. Wiener), Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 10, 618-621.

“Condemning the Other in Death Penalty Trials: Biographical
Racism, Structural Mitigation, and the Empathic Divide,” DePaul
Law Review, 53, 1557-1590.

“Capital Constructions: Newspaper Reporting in Death Penalty
Cases” (with S. Greene), Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy
(ASAP), 4, 1-22.

“Abu Ghraib and the American Prison System,” The
Commonwealth, 98 (#16), 40-42.

“Disciplinary Segregation,” in Mary Bosworth (Ed.), Encyclopedia
of U.S. Prisons and Correctional Facilities (240-244). Volume 1.
Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

“Super-Maximum Secure Prisons,” in Mary Bosworth (Ed.),
Encyclopedia of U.S. Prisons and Correctional Facilities (pp. 938-
944). Volume 2. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

2003 “Mental Health Issues in Long-Term Solitary and ‘Supermax’
Confinement,” Crime & Delinquency (special issue on mental health
and the criminal justice system), 49, 124-156. [Reprinted in:
Roesch, R., & Gagnon, N. (Eds.), Psychology and Law: Criminal and
Civil Perspectives. Hampshire, UK: Ashgate (2007).]

“The Psychological Impact of Incarceration: Implications for Post-
Prison Adjustment,” in Travis, J., & Waul, M. (Eds.), Prisoners
Once Removed: The Impact of Incarceration and Reentry on
Children, Families, and Communities (pp. 33-66). Washington, DC:
Urban Institute Press.

“Comments on “Dying Twice”: Death Row Confinement in the Age of the Supermax,” Capital University Law Review.

- 2002 “Making Law Modern: Toward a Contextual Model of Justice, Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 7, 3-63.
- “Psychological Jurisprudence: Taking Psychology and Law into the Twenty-First Century,” (with John Darley, Sol Fulero, and Tom Tyler), in J. Ogloff (Ed.), Taking Psychology and Law into the Twenty-First Century (pp. 35-59). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishing.
- “Science, Law, and Psychological Injury: The Daubert Standards and Beyond,” (with Amy Smith), in Schultz, I., Brady, D., and Carella, S., The Handbook of Psychological Injury (pp. 184-201). Chicago, IL: American Bar Association. [CD-ROM format]
- 2001 “Vulnerable Offenders and the Law: Treatment Rights in Uncertain Legal Times” (with D. Specter). In J. Ashford, B. Sales, & W. Reid (Eds.), Treating Adult and Juvenile Offenders with Special Needs (pp. 51-79). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- “Afterword,” in J. Evans (Ed.), Undoing Time (pp. 245-256). Boston, MA: Northeastern University Press.
- 2000 “Discrimination and Instructional Comprehension: Guided Discretion, Racial Bias, and the Death Penalty” (with M. Lynch), Law and Human Behavior, 24, 337-358.
- “Cycles of Pain: Risk Factors in the Lives of Incarcerated Women and Their Children,” (with S. Greene and A. Hurtado), Prison Journal, 80, 3-23.
- 1999 “Reflections on the Stanford Prison Experiment: Genesis, Transformations, Consequences (‘The SPE and the Analysis of Institutions’),” In Thomas Blass (Ed.), Obedience to Authority: Current Perspectives on the Milgram Paradigm (pp. 221-237). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- “Ideology and Crime Control,” American Psychologist, 54, 786-788.
- 1998 “The Past and Future of U.S. Prison Policy: Twenty-Five Years After

the Stanford Prison Experiment,” (with P. Zimbardo), American Psychologist, 53, 709-727. [Reprinted in special issue of Norwegian journal as: USAs fengselspolitikk i fortid og fremtid, Vardoger, 25, 171-183 (2000); in H. Tischler (Ed.), Debating Points: Crime and Punishment. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall (2001); Annual Editions: Criminal Justice. Guilford, CT: Dushkin/McGraw-Hill, in press; Herman, Peter (Ed.), The American Prison System (pp. 17-43) (Reference Shelf Series). New York: H.W. Wilson (2001); and in Edward Latessa & Alexander Holsinger (Eds.), Correctional Contexts: Contemporary and Classical Readings. Fourth Edition. Oxford University Press (2010).]

“Riding the Punishment Wave: On the Origins of Our Devolving Standards of Decency,” Hastings Women’s Law Journal, 9, 27-78.

“Becoming the Mainstream: “Merit,” Changing Demographics, and Higher Education in California” (with A. Hurtado and E. Garcia), La Raza Law Journal, 10, 645-690.

1997 “Regulating Prisons of the Future: A Psychological Analysis of Supermax and Solitary Confinement,” (with M. Lynch), New York University Review of Law and Social Change, 23, 477-570.

“Psychology and the Limits to Prison Pain: Confronting the Coming Crisis in Eighth Amendment Law,” Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 3, 499-588.

“Commonsense Justice and the Death Penalty: Problematising the ‘Will of the People,’” Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 3, 303-337.

“Violence and the Capital Jury: Mechanisms of Moral Disengagement and the Impulse to Condemn to Death,” Stanford Law Review, 49, 1447-1486.

“Mitigation and the Study of Lives: The Roots of Violent Criminality and the Nature of Capital Justice.” In James Acker, Robert Bohm, and Charles Lanier, America’s Experiment with Capital Punishment: Reflections on the Past, Present, and Future of the Ultimate Penal Sanction. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press, 343-377.

“Clarifying Life and Death Matters: An Analysis of Instructional Comprehension and Penalty Phase Arguments” (with M. Lynch), Law and Human Behavior, 21, 575-595.

“Psychological Secrecy and the Death Penalty: Observations on ‘the Mere Extinguishment of Life,’” Studies in Law, Politics, and Society, 16, 3-69.

1995 “The Social Context of Capital Murder: Social Histories and the Logic of Capital Mitigation,” Santa Clara Law Review, 35, 547-609. [Reprinted in part in David Papke (Ed.), Law and Popular Culture, Lexis/Nexis Publications, 2011)].

“Taking Capital Jurors Seriously,” Indiana Law Journal, 70, 1223-1232.

“Death Penalty Opinion: Myth and Misconception,” California Criminal Defense Practice Reporter, 1995(1), 1-7.

1994 “The Jurisprudence of Race and Meritocracy: Standardized Testing and ‘Race-Neutral’ Racism in the Workplace,” (with A. Hurtado), Law and Human Behavior, 18, 223-248.

“Comprehending Life and Death Matters: A Preliminary Study of California’s Capital Penalty Instructions” (with M. Lynch), Law and Human Behavior, 18, 411-434.

“Felony Voir Dire: An Exploratory Study of Its Content and Effect,” (with C. Johnson), Law and Human Behavior, 18, 487-506.

“Broken Promise: The Supreme Court’s Response to Social Science Research on Capital Punishment” (with D. Logan), Journal of Social Issues (special issue on the death penalty in the United States), 50, 75-101.

“Deciding to Take a Life: Capital Juries, Sentencing Instructions, and the Jurisprudence of Death” (with L. Sontag and S. Costanzo), Journal of Social Issues (special issue on the death penalty in the United States), 50, 149-176. [Reprinted in Koosed, M. (Ed.), Capital Punishment. New York: Garland Publishing (1995).]

“Modern’ Death Qualification: New Data on Its Biasing Effects,” (with A. Hurtado and L. Vega), Law and Human Behavior, 18, 619-633.

“Processing the Mad, Badly,” Contemporary Psychology, 39, 898-899.

“Language is Power,” Contemporary Psychology, 39, 1039-1040.

- 1993 “Infamous Punishment: The Psychological Effects of Isolation,” National Prison Project Journal, 8, 3-21. [Reprinted in Marquart, James & Sorensen, Jonathan (Eds.), Correctional Contexts: Contemporary and Classical Readings (pp. 428-437). Los Angeles: Roxbury Publishing (1997); Alarid, Leanne & Cromwell, Paul (Eds.), Correctional Perspectives: Views from Academics, Practitioners, and Prisoners (pp. 161-170). Los Angeles: Roxbury Publishing (2001).]
- “Psychology and Legal Change: The Impact of a Decade,” Law and Human Behavior, 17, 371-398. [Reprinted in: Roesch, R., & Gagnon, N. (Eds.), Psychology and Law: Criminal and Civil Perspectives. Hampshire, UK: Ashgate (2007).]
- 1992 “Death Penalty Attitudes: The Beliefs of Death-Qualified Californians,” (with A. Hurtado and L. Vega). Forum, 19, 43-47.
- “The Influence of Race on Sentencing: A Meta-Analytic Review of Experimental Studies.” (with L. Sweeney). Special issue on Discrimination and the Law. Behavioral Science and Law, 10, 179-195.
- 1991 “The Fourteenth Amendment and Symbolic Legality: Let Them Eat Due Process,” Law and Human Behavior, 15, 183-204.
- 1988 “In Defense of the Jury,” Contemporary Psychology, 33, 653-655.
- 1986 “Civil Rights and Institutional Law: The Role of Social Psychology in Judicial Implementation,” (with T. Pettigrew), Journal of Community Psychology, 14, 267-277.
- 1984 “Editor’s Introduction. Special Issue on Death Qualification,” Law and Human Behavior, 8, 1-6.
- “On the Selection of Capital Juries: The Biasing Effects of Death Qualification,” Law and Human Behavior, 8, 121-132.
- “Examining Death Qualification: Further Analysis of the Process Effect,” Law and Human Behavior, 8, 133-151.

“Evolving Standards and the Capital Jury,” Law and Human Behavior, 8, 153-158.

“Postscript,” Law and Human Behavior, 8, 159.

“Social Factfinding and Legal Decisions: Judicial Reform and the Use of Social Science.” In Muller, D., Blackman, D., and Chapman, A. (Eds.), Perspectives in Psychology and Law. New York: John Wiley, pp. 43-54.

1983 “The Future of Crime and Personality Research: A Social Psychologist’s View,” in Laufer, W. and Day, J. (Eds.), Personality Theory, Moral Development, and Criminal Behavioral Behavior. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books, pp. 471-473.

“The Good, the Bad, and the Lawful: An Essay on Psychological Injustice,” in Laufer, W. and Day, J. (Eds.), Personality Theory, Moral Development, and Criminal Behavior. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books, pp. 107-117.

“Ordering the Courtroom, Psychologically,” Jurimetrics, 23, 321-324.

1982 “Psychological Theory and Criminal Justice Policy: Law and Psychology in the ‘Formative Era,’” Law and Human Behavior, 6, 191-235. [Reprinted in Presser, S. and Zainaldin, J. (Eds.), Law and American History: Cases and Materials. Minneapolis, MN: West Publishing, 1989; and in C. Kubrin, T. Stucky & A. Tynes (Eds.) Introduction to Criminal Justice: A Sociological Perspective. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press (2012).]

“Data and Decisions: Social Science and Judicial Reform,” in P. DuBois (Ed.), The Analysis of Judicial Reform. Lexington, Mass.: D.C. Heath, pp. 43-59.

“Employment Tests and Employment Discrimination: A Dissenting Psychological Opinion,” Industrial Relations Law Journal, 5, pp. 1-86.

“To Polygraph or Not: The Effects of Preemployment Polygraphing on Work-Related Attitudes,” (with L. White and M. Lopez), Polygraph, 11, 185-199.

- 1981 "Death Qualification as a Biasing Legal Process," The Death Penalty Reporter, 1 (10), pp. 1-5. [Reprinted in Augustus: A Journal of Progressive Human Sciences, 9(3), 9-13 (1986).]
- 1980 "Juries and the Death Penalty: Readdressing the Witherspoon Question," Crime and Delinquency, October, pp. 512-527.
- "Psychology and Legal Change: On the Limits of a Factual Jurisprudence," Law and Human Behavior, 6, 191-235. [Reprinted in Loh, Wallace (Ed.), Social Research and the Judicial Process. New York: Russell Sage, 1983.]
- "The Creation of Legal Dependency: Law School in a Nutshell" (with M. Lowy), in R. Warner (Ed.), The People's Law Review. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, pp. 36-41.
- "Television Criminology: Network Illusions of Criminal Justice Realities" (with J. Manzolati), in E. Aronson (Ed.), Readings on the Social Animal. San Francisco, W.H. Freeman, pp. 125-136.
- 1979 "A Psychologist Looks at the Criminal Justice System," in A. Calvin (Ed.), Challenges and Alternatives to the Criminal Justice System. Ann Arbor: Monograph Press, pp. 77-85.
- "Social Psychology and the Criminal Law," in P. Middlebrook (Ed.), Social Psychology and Modern Life. New York: Random House, pp. 671-711.
- "Bargain Justice in an Unjust World: Good Deals in the Criminal Courts" (with M. Lowy), Law and Society Review, 13, pp. 633-650. [Reprinted in Kadish, Sanford and Paulsen, Robert (Eds.), Criminal Law and Its Processes. Boston: Little, Brown, 1983.]
- 1977 "Prison Behavior" (with P. Zimbardo), in B. Wolman (Ed.), The Encyclopedia of Neurology, Psychiatry, Psychoanalysis, and Psychology, Vol. IX, pp. 70-74.
- "The Socialization into Criminality: On Becoming a Prisoner and a Guard" (with P. Zimbardo), in J. Tapp and F. Levine (Eds.), Law, Justice, and the Individual in Society: Psychological and Legal Issues (pp. 198-223). New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- 1976 "The Play's the Thing: Methodological Notes on Social

Simulations,” in P. Golden (Ed.), The Research Experience, pp. 177-190. Itasca, IL: Peacock.

1975 “The Blackboard Penitentiary: It’s Tough to Tell a High School from a Prison” (with P. Zimbardo). Psychology Today, 26ff.

“Implementing Research Results in Criminal Justice Settings,” Proceedings, Third Annual Conference on Corrections in the U.S. Military, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, June 6-7.

“The Psychology of Imprisonment: Privation, Power, and Pathology” (with P. Zimbardo, C. Banks, and D. Jaffe), in D. Rosenhan and P. London (Eds.), Theory and Research in Abnormal Psychology. New York: Holt Rinehart, and Winston. [Reprinted in: Rubin, Z. (Ed.), Doing Unto Others: Joining, Molding, Conforming, Helping, Loving. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1974. Brigham, John, and Wrightsman, Lawrence (Eds.) Contemporary Issues in Social Psychology. Third Edition. Monterey: Brooks/Cole, 1977. Calhoun, James Readings, Cases, and Study Guide for Psychology of Adjustment and Human Relationships. New York: Random House, 1978; translated as: La Psicología del encarcelamiento: privación, poder y patología, Revisita de Psicología Social, 1, 95-105 (1986).]

1973 “Social Roles, Role-Playing, and Education” (with P. Zimbardo), The Behavioral and Social Science Teacher, Fall, 1(1), pp. 24-45. [Reprinted in: Zimbardo, P., and Maslach, C. (Eds.) Psychology For Our Times. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1977. Hollander, E. and Hunt, R. (Eds.) Current Perspectives in Social Psychology. Third Edition. New York: Oxford University Press, 1978.]

“The Mind is a Formidable Jailer: A Pirandellian Prison” (with P. Zimbardo, C. Banks, and D. Jaffe), The New York Times Magazine, April 8, Section 6, 38-60. [Reprinted in Krupat, E. (Ed.), Psychology Is Social: Readings and Conversations in Social Psychology. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1982.]

“Interpersonal Dynamics in a Simulated Prison” (with C. Banks and P. Zimbardo), International Journal of Criminology and Penology, 1, pp. 69-97. [Reprinted in: Steffensmeier, Darrell, and Terry, Robert (Eds.) Examining Deviance Experimentally. New York: Alfred Publishing, 1975; Golden, P. (Ed.) The Research Experience. Itasca, Ill.: Peacock, 1976; Leger, Robert (Ed.) The Sociology of Corrections. New York: John Wiley, 1977; A kiserleti tarsadalom-

lelektan foarma. Budapest, Hungary: Gondolat Konyvkiado, 1977; Johnston, Norman, and Savitz, L. Justice and Corrections. New York: John Wiley, 1978; Research Methods in Education and Social Sciences. The Open University, 1979; Goldstein, J. (Ed.), Modern Sociology. British Columbia: Open Learning Institute, 1980; Ross, Robert R. (Ed.), Prison Guard/ Correctional Officer: The Use and Abuse of Human Resources of Prison. Toronto: Butterworth's 1981; Monahan, John, and Walker, Laurens (Eds.), Social Science in Law: Cases, Materials, and Problems. Foundation Press, 1985; Siuta, Jerzy (Ed.), The Context of Human Behavior. Jagiellonian University Press, 2001; Ferguson, Susan (Ed.), Mapping the Social Landscape: Readings in Sociology. St. Enumclaw, WA: Mayfield Publishing, 2001 & 2010; Pethes, Nicolas (Ed.), Menschenversuche (Experiments with Humans). Frankfurt, Germany: Suhrkamp Verlag, 2006.]

"A Study of Prisoners and Guards" (with C. Banks and P. Zimbardo). Naval Research Reviews, 1-17. [Reprinted in Aronson, E. (Ed.) Readings About the Social Animal. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman, 1980; Gross, R. (Ed.) Key Studies in Psychology. Third Edition. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1999; Collier, C. (Ed.), Basic Themes in Law and Jurisprudence. Anderson Publishing, 2000.]

MEMBERSHIP/ACTIVITIES IN PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

American Psychological Association

American Psychology and Law Society

Law and Society Association

National Council on Crime and Delinquency

INVITED ADDRESSES AND PAPERS PRESENTED AT PROFESSIONAL ACADEMIC MEETINGS AND RELATED SETTINGS (SELECTED)

2016 "The Culture of Punishment," American Justice Summit, New York, January.

"Mental Illness and Prison Confinement," Conference on Race, Class, Gender and Ethnicity (CRCGE), University of North Carolina Law School, Chapel Hill, NC, February.

“Reforming the Treatment of California’s Mentally Ill Prisoners: Coleman and Beyond,” Meeting of the UC Consortium on Criminal Justice & Health, San Francisco, April.

“Bending Toward Justice? The Urgency (and Possibility) of Criminal Justice Reform,” UC Santa Cruz Alumni Association “Original Thinkers” Series, San Jose, CA (March), and Museum of Tolerance, Los Angeles (April).

“Isolation and Mental Health,” International and Inter-Disciplinary Perspectives on Prolonged Solitary Confinement, University of Pittsburgh Law School, Pittsburgh, PA, April.

- 2015
- “Reforming the Criminal Justice System,” Bipartisan Summit on Criminal Justice Reform, American Civil Liberties Union/Koch Industries co-sponsored, Washington, DC, March.
- “PrisonWorld: How Mass Incarceration Transformed U.S. Prisons, Impacted Prisoners, and Changed American Society,” Distinguished Faculty Research Lecture, UC Santa Cruz, March.
- “Think Different, About Crime and Punishment,” Invited Lecture, UC Santa Cruz 50th Anniversary Alumni Reunion, April.
- “The Intellectual Legacy of the Civil Rights Movement: Two Fifty-Year Anniversaries,” College 10 Commencement Address, June.
- “Race and Capital Mitigation,” Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic Bias for Capital and Non-Capital Lawyers, New York, September.
- “The Dimensions of Suffering in Solitary Confinement,” Vera Institute of Justice, “Safe Alternatives to Solitary Confinement-A Human Dignity Approach” Conference, Washington, DC, September.
- “Mental Health and Administrative Segregation,” Topical Working Group on the Use of Administrative Segregation in the U.S., National Institute of Justice/Department of Justice, Washington, DC, October.
- “The Psychological Effects of Segregated Confinement,” Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals “Corrections Summit,” Sacramento, CA, November.

“How Can the University of California Address Mass Incarceration in California and Beyond?,” Keynote Address, Inaugural Meeting of the UC Consortium on Criminal Justice & Health, San Francisco, November.

2014

“Solitary Confinement: Legal, Clinical, and Neurobiological Perspectives,” American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Chicago, IL February.

“Overcrowding, Isolation, and Mental Health Care, Prisoners’ Access to Justice: Exploring Legal, Medical, and Educational Rights,” University of California, School of Law, Irvine, CA, February.

“Using Psychology at Multiple Levels to Transform Adverse Conditions of Confinement,” Society for the Study of Social Issues Conference, Portland, OR, June.

“Humane and Effective Alternatives to Isolated Confinement,” American Civil Liberties Union National Prison Project Convening on Solitary Confinement, Washington, DC, September.

“Community of Assessment of Public Safety,” Community Assessment Project of Santa Cruz County, Year 20, Cabrillo College, November.

“Overview of National Academy of Sciences Report on Causes and Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration,” Chief Justice Earl Warren Institute on Law & Social Policy, Boalt Hall Law School, Berkeley, CA, November.

“Presidential Panel, Overview of National Academy of Sciences Report on Causes and Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration,” American Society for Criminology, San Francisco, November.

“Presidential Panel, National Academy of Sciences Report on Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration on Individuals,” American Society for Criminology, San Francisco, November.

“Findings of National Academy of Sciences Committee on the Causes and Consequences of High Rates of Incarceration,” Association of Public Policy Analysis and Management Convention (APPAM), Albuquerque, NM, November.

“Politics and the Penal State: Mass Incarceration and American Society,” New York University Abu Dhabi International Scholars Program, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December.

2013 “Isolation and Mental Health,” Michigan Journal of Race and Law Symposium, University of Michigan School of Law, Ann Arbor, MI, February.

“Bending Toward Justice: Psychological Science and Criminal Justice Reform,” Invited Plenary Address, American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Honolulu, HI, August.

“Severe Conditions of Confinement and International Torture Standards,” Istanbul Center for Behavior Research and Therapy, Istanbul, Turkey, December.

2012 “The Psychological Consequences of Long-term Solitary Confinement,” Joint Yale/Columbia Law School Conference on Incarceration and Isolation, New York, April.

“The Creation of the Penal State in America,” Managing Social Vulnerability: The Welfare and Penal System in Comparative Perspective, Central European University, Budapest, Hungary, July.

2011 “Tensions Between Psychology and the Criminal Justice System: On the Persistence of Injustice,” opening presentation, “A Critical Eye on Criminal Justice” lecture series, Golden Gate University Law School, San Francisco, CA, January.

“The Decline in Death Penalty Verdicts and Executions: The Death of Capital Punishment?” Presentation at “A Legacy of Justice” week, at the University of California, Davis King Hall Law School, Davis, CA, January.

“Invited Keynote Address: The Nature and Consequences of Prison Overcrowding—Urgency and Implications,” West Virginia School of Law, Morgantown, West Virginia, March.

“Symposium: The Stanford Prison Experiment—Enduring Lessons 40 Years Later,” American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Washington, DC, August.

“The Dangerous Overuse of Solitary Confinement: Pervasive Human Rights Violations in Prisons, Jails, and Other Places of Detention” Panel, United Nations, New York, New York, October.

“Criminal Justice Reform: Issues and Recommendation,” United States Congress, Washington, DC, November.

2010 “The Hardening of Prison Conditions,” Opening Address, “The Imprisoned” Arthur Liman Colloquium Public Interest Series, Yale Law School, New Haven, CN, March.

“Desensitization to Inhumane Treatment: The Pitfalls of Prison Work,” panel presentation at “The Imprisoned” Arthur Liman Colloquium Public Interest Series, Yale Law School, New Haven, CN, March.

“Mental Ill Health in Immigration Detention,” Department of Homeland Security/DOJ Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, Washington, DC, September.

2009 “Counting Casualties in the War on Prisoners,” Keynote Address, at “The Road to Prison Reform: Treating the Causes and Conditions of Our Overburdened System,” University of Connecticut Law School, Hartford, CN, February.

“Defining the Problem in California’s Prison Crisis: Overcrowding and Its Consequences,” California Correctional Crisis Conference,” Hastings Law School, San Francisco, CA, March.

2008 “Prisonization and Contemporary Conditions of Confinement,” Keynote Address, Women Defenders Association, Boalt Law School, University of California, November.

“Media Criminology and the Empathic Divide: The Continuing Significance of Race in Capital Trials,” Invited Address, Media, Race, and the Death Penalty Conference, DePaul University School of Law, Chicago, IL, March.

“The State of the Prisons in California,” Invited Opening Address, Confronting the Crisis: Current State Initiatives and Lasting Solutions for California’s Prison Conditions Conference, University of San Francisco School of Law, San Francisco, CA, March.

“Mass Incarceration and Its Effects on American Society,” Invited Opening Address, Behind the Walls Prison Law Symposium, University of California Davis School of Law, Davis, CA, March.

2007 “The Psychology of Imprisonment: How Prison Conditions Affect Prisoners and Correctional Officers,” United States Department of Justice, National Institute of Corrections Management Training for “Correctional Excellence” Course, Denver, CO, May.

“Statement on Psychologists, Detention, and Torture,” Invited Address, American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August.

“Prisoners of Isolation,” Invited Address, University of Indiana Law School, Indianapolis, IN, October.

“Mitigation in Three Strikes Cases,” Stanford Law School, Palo Alto, CA, September.

“The Psychology of Imprisonment,” Occidental College, Los Angeles, CA, November.

2006 “Mitigation and Social Histories in Death Penalty Cases,” Ninth Circuit Federal Capital Case Committee, Seattle, WA, May.

“The Crisis in the Prisons: Using Psychology to Understand and Improve Prison Conditions,” Invited Keynote Address, Psi Chi (Undergraduate Psychology Honor Society) Research Conference, San Francisco, CA, May.

“Exoneration and ‘Wrongful Condemnation’: Why Juries Sentence to Death When Life is the Proper Verdict,” Faces of Innocence Conference, UCLA Law School, April.

“The Continuing Effects of Imprisonment: Implications for Families and Communities,” Research and Practice Symposium on Incarceration and Marriage, United States Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC, April.

“Ordinary People, Extraordinary Acts,” National Guantanamo Teach In, Seton Hall School of Law, Newark, NJ, October.

“The Next Generation of Death Penalty Research,” Invited Address, State University of New York, School of Criminal Justice, Albany, NY, October.

- 2005 “The ‘Design’ of the System of Death Sentencing: Systemic Forms of ‘Moral Disengagement in the Administration of Capital Punishment, Scholar-in-Residence, invited address, Center for Social Justice, Boalt Hall School of Law (Berkeley), March.
- “Humane Treatment for Asylum Seekers in U.S. Detention Centers,” United States House of Representatives, Washington, DC, March.
- “Prisonworld: What Overincarceration Has Done to Prisoners and the Rest of Us,” Scholar-in-Residence, invited address, Center for Social Justice, Boalt Hall School of Law (Berkeley), March.
- “Prison Conditions and Their Psychological Effects on Prisoners,” European Association for Psychology and Law, Vilnius, Lithuania, July.
- 2004 “Recognizing the Adverse Psychological Effects of Incarceration, With Special Attention to Solitary-Type Confinement and Other Forms of ‘Ill-Treatment’ in Detention,” International Committee of the Red Cross, Training Program for Detention Monitors, Geneva, Switzerland, November.
- “Prison Conditions in Post-“War on Crime” Era: Coming to Terms with the Continuing Pains of Imprisonment,” Boalt Law School Conference, After the War on Crime: Race, Democracy, and a New Reconstruction, Berkeley, CA, October.
- “Cruel and Unusual? The United States Prison System at the Start of the 21st Century,” Invited speaker, Siebel Scholars Convocation, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, October.
- “The Social Historical Roots of Violence: Introducing Life Narratives into Capital Sentencing Procedures,” Invited Symposium, XXVIII International Congress of Psychology, Beijing, China, August.
- “Death by Design: Capital Punishment as a Social Psychological System,” Division 41 (Psychology and Law) Invited Address, American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Honolulu, HI, July.
- “The Psychology of Imprisonment and the Lessons of Abu Ghraib,” Commonwealth Club Public Interest Lecture Series, San Francisco,

May.

“Restructuring Prisons and Restructuring Prison Reform,” Yale Law School Conference on the Current Status of Prison Litigation in the United States, New Haven, CN, May.

“The Effects of Prison Conditions on Prisoners and Guards: Using Psychological Theory and Data to Understand Prison Behavior,” United States Department of Justice, National Institute of Corrections Management Training Course, Denver, CO, May.

“The Contextual Revolution in Psychology and the Question of Prison Effects: What We Know about How Prison Affects Prisoners and Guards,” Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, April.

“Death Penalty Attitudes, Death Qualification, and Juror Instructional Comprehension,” American Psychology-Law Society, Annual Conference, Scottsdale, AZ, March.

2003

“Crossing the Empathic Divide: Race Factors in Death Penalty Decisionmaking,” DePaul Law School Symposium on Race and the Death Penalty in the United States, Chicago, October.

“Supermax Prisons and the Prison Reform Paradigm,” PACE Law School Conference on Prison Reform Revisited: The Unfinished Agenda, New York, October.

“Mental Health Issues in Supermax Confinement,” European Psychology and Law Conference, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, July.

“Roundtable on Capital Punishment in the United States: The Key Psychological Issues,” European Psychology and Law Conference, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, July.

“Psychology and Legal Change: Taking Stock,” European Psychology and Law Conference, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, July.

“Economic Justice and Criminal Justice: Social Welfare and Social Control,” Society for the Study of Social Issues Conference, January.

“Race, Gender, and Class Issues in the Criminal Justice System,” Center for Justice, Tolerance & Community and Barrios Unidos Conference, March.

- 2002 “The Psychological Effects of Imprisonment: Prisonization and Beyond.” Joint Urban Institute and United States Department of Health and Human Services Conference on “From Prison to Home.” Washington, DC, January.
- “On the Nature of Mitigation: Current Research on Capital Jury Decisionmaking.” American Psychology and Law Society, Mid-Winter Meetings, Austin, Texas, March.
- “Prison Conditions and Death Row Confinement.” New York Bar Association, New York City, June.
- 2001 “Supermax and Solitary Confinement: The State of the Research and the State of the Prisons.” Best Practices and Human Rights in Supermax Prisons: A Dialogue. Conference sponsored by University of Washington and the Washington Department of Corrections, Seattle, September.
- “Mental Health in Supermax: On Psychological Distress and Institutional Care.” Best Practices and Human Rights in Supermax Prisons: A Dialogue. Conference sponsored by University of Washington and the Washington Department of Corrections, Seattle, September.
- “On the Nature of Mitigation: Research Results and Trial Process and Outcomes.” Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California, Berkeley, August.
- “Toward an Integrated Theory of Mitigation.” American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August.
- Discussant: “Constructing Class Identities—The Impact of Educational Experiences.” American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August.
- “The Rise of Carceral Consciousness.” American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August.
- 2000 “On the Nature of Mitigation: Countering Generic Myths in Death Penalty Decisionmaking,” City University of New York Second International Advances in Qualitative Psychology Conference, March.

“Why Has U.S. Prison Policy Gone From Bad to Worse? Insights From the Stanford Prison Study and Beyond,” Claremont Conference on Women, Prisons, and Criminal Injustice, March.

“The Use of Social Histories in Capital Litigation,” Yale Law School, April.

“Debunking Myths About Capital Violence,” Georgetown Law School, April.

“Research on Capital Jury Decisionmaking: New Data on Juror Comprehension and the Nature of Mitigation,” Society for Study of Social Issues Convention, Minneapolis, June.

“Crime and Punishment: Where Do We Go From Here?” Division 41 Invited Symposium, “Beyond the Boundaries: Where Should Psychology and Law Be Taking Us?” American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Washington, DC, August.

1999 “Psychology and the State of U.S. Prisons at the Millennium,” American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Boston, MA, August.

“Spreading Prison Pain: On the Worldwide Movement Towards Incarcerative Social Control,” Joint American Psychology-Law Society/European Association of Psychology and Law Conference, Dublin, Ireland, July.

1998 “Prison Conditions and Prisoner Mental Health,” Beyond the Prison Industrial Complex Conference, University of California, Berkeley, September.

“The State of US Prisons: A Conversation,” International Congress of Applied Psychology, San Francisco, CA, August.

“Deathwork: Capital Punishment as a Social Psychological System,” Invited SPPSI Address, American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August.

“The Use and Misuse of Psychology in Justice Studies: Psychology and Legal Change: What Happened to Justice?,” (panelist), American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August.

“Twenty Five Years of American Corrections: Past and Future,”
American Psychology and Law Society, Redondo Beach, CA, March.

1997 “Deconstructing the Death Penalty,” School of Justice Studies,
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, October.

“Mitigation and the Study of Lives,” Invited Address to Division 41
(Psychology and Law), American Psychological Association Annual
Convention, Chicago, August.

1996 “The Stanford Prison Experiment and 25 Years of American Prison
Policy,” American Psychological Association Annual Convention,
Toronto, August.

1995 “Looking Closely at the Death Penalty: Public Stereotypes and
Capital Punishment,” Invited Address, Arizona State University
College of Public Programs series on Free Speech, Affirmative
Action and Multiculturalism, Tempe, AZ, April.

“Race and the Flaws of the Meritocratic Vision,” Invited Address,
Arizona State University College of Public Programs series on Free
Speech, Affirmative Action and Multiculturalism, Tempe, AZ, April.

“Taking Capital Jurors Seriously,” Invited Address, National
Conference on Juries and the Death Penalty, Indiana Law School,
Bloomington, February.

1994 “Mitigation and the Social Genetics of Violence: Childhood
Treatment and Adult Criminality,” Invited Address, Conference on
the Capital Punishment, Santa Clara Law School, October, Santa
Clara.

1992 “Social Science and the Death Penalty,” Chair and Discussant,
American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San
Francisco, CA, August.

1991 “Capital Jury Decisionmaking,” Invited panelist, American
Psychological Association Annual Convention, Atlanta, GA, August.

- 1990 “Racial Discrimination in Death Penalty Cases,” Invited presentation, NAACP Legal Defense Fund Conference on Capital Litigation, August, Airlie, VA.
- 1989 “Psychology and Legal Change: The Impact of a Decade,” Invited Address to Division 41 (Psychology and Law), American Psychological Association Annual Convention, New Orleans, LA., August.
- “Judicial Remedies to Pretrial Prejudice,” Law & Society Association Annual Meeting, Madison, WI, June.
- “The Social Psychology of Police Interrogation Techniques” (with R. Liebowitz), Law & Society Association Annual Meeting, Madison, WI, June.
- 1987 “The Fourteenth Amendment and Symbolic Legality: Let Them Eat Due Process,” APA Annual Convention, New York, N.Y. August.
- “The Nature and Function of Prison in the United States and Mexico: A Preliminary Comparison,” InterAmerican Congress of Psychology, Havana, Cuba, July.
- 1986 Chair, Division 41 Invited Address and “Commentary on the Execution Ritual,” APA Annual Convention, Washington, D.C., August.
- “Capital Punishment,” Invited Address, National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers Annual Convention, Monterey, CA, August.
- 1985 “The Role of Law in Graduate Social Science Programs” and “Current Directions in Death Qualification Research,” American Society of Criminology, San Diego, CA, November.
- “The State of the Prisons: What’s Happened to ‘Justice’ in the ‘70s and ‘80s?” Invited Address to Division 41 (Psychology and Law); APA Annual Convention, Los Angeles, CA, August.
- 1983 “The Role of Social Science in Death Penalty Litigation.” Invited Address in National College of Criminal Defense Death Penalty Conference, Indianapolis, IN, September.

- 1982 “Psychology in the Court: Social Science Data and Legal Decision-Making.” Invited Plenary Address, International Conference on Psychology and Law, University College, Swansea, Wales, July.
- 1982 “Paradigms in Conflict: Contrasting Methods and Styles of Psychology and Law.” Invited Address, Social Science Research Council, Conference on Psychology and Law, Wolfson College, Oxford University, March.
- 1982 “Law and Psychology: Conflicts in Professional Roles.” Invited paper, Western Psychological Association Annual Meeting, April.
- 1980 “Using Psychology in Test Case Litigation,” panelist, American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Montreal, Canada, September.
- “On the Selection of Capital Juries: The Biasing Effects of Death Qualification.” Paper presented at the Interdisciplinary Conference on Capital Punishment. Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, April.
- “Diminished Capacity and Imprisonment: The Legal and Psychological Issues,” Proceedings of the American Trial Lawyers Association, Mid-Winter Meeting, January.
- 1975 “Social Change and the Ideology of Individualism in Psychology and Law.” Paper presented at the Western Psychological Association Annual Meeting, April.

SERVICE TO STAFF OR EDITORIAL BOARDS OF FOUNDATIONS, SCHOLARLY JOURNALS OR PRESSES

- 2016-present Editorial Consultant, Translational Issues in Psychological Science.
- 2015-present Editorial Consultant, Criminal Justice Review.
- 2014-present Editorial Board Member, Law and Social Inquiry.

2013-present	Editorial Consultant, <u>Criminal Justice and Behavior</u> .
2012-present	Editorial Consultant, <u>Law and Society Review</u> .
2011-present	Editorial Consultant, <u>Social Psychological and Personality Science</u> .
2008-present	Editorial Consultant, <u>New England Journal of Medicine</u> .
2007-present	Editorial Board Member, <u>Correctional Mental Health Reporter</u> .
2007-present	Editorial Board Member, <u>Journal of Offender Behavior and Rehabilitation</u> .
2004-present	Editorial Board Member, American Psychology and Law Society Book Series, Oxford University Press.
2000-2003	Reviewer, Society for the Study of Social Issues Grants-in-Aid Program.
2000-present	Editorial Board Member, <u>ASAP</u> (on-line journal of the Society for the Study of Social Issues)
1997-present	Editorial Board Member, <u>Psychology, Public Policy, and Law</u>
1991	Editorial Consultant, Brooks/Cole Publishing
1989	Editorial Consultant, <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>
1988-	Editorial Consultant, <u>American Psychologist</u>
1985	Editorial Consultant, <u>American Bar Foundation Research Journal</u>
1985-2006	<u>Law and Human Behavior</u> , Editorial Board Member
1985	Editorial Consultant, Columbia University Press
1985	Editorial Consultant, <u>Law and Social Inquiry</u>
1980-present	Reviewer, National Science Foundation
1997	Reviewer, National Institutes of Mental Health
1980-present	Editorial Consultant, <u>Law and Society Review</u>

1979-1985 Editorial Consultant, Law and Human Behavior
1997-present Editorial Consultant, Legal and Criminological Psychology
1993-present Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, Editorial Consultant

GOVERNMENTAL, LEGAL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE CONSULTING

Training Consultant, Palo Alto Police Department, 1973-1974.

Evaluation Consultant, San Mateo County Sheriff's Department, 1974.

Design and Training Consultant to Napa County Board of Supervisors, County Sheriff's Department (county jail), 1974.

Training Consultation, California Department of Corrections, 1974.

Consultant to California Legislature Select Committee in Criminal Justice, 1974, 1980-1981 (effects of prison conditions, evaluation of proposed prison legislation).

Reviewer, National Science Foundation (Law and Social Science, Research Applied to National Needs Programs), 1978-present.

Consultant, Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors, 1980 (effects of jail overcrowding, evaluation of county criminal justice policy).

Consultant to Packard Foundation, 1981 (evaluation of inmate counseling and guard training programs at San Quentin and Soledad prisons).

Member, San Francisco Foundation Criminal Justice Task Force, 1980-1982 (corrections expert).

Consultant to NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 1982- present (expert witness, case evaluation, attorney training).

Faculty, National Judicial College, 1980-1983.

Consultant to Public Advocates, Inc., 1983-1986 (public interest litigation).

Consultant to California Child, Youth, Family Coalition, 1981-82 (evaluation of proposed juvenile justice legislation).

Consultant to California Senate Office of Research, 1982 (evaluation of causes and consequences of overcrowding in California Youth Authority facilities).

Consultant, New Mexico State Public Defender, 1980-1983 (investigation of causes of February, 1980 prison riot).

Consultant, California State Supreme Court, 1983 (evaluation of county jail conditions).

Member, California State Bar Committee on Standards in Prisons and Jails, 1983.

Consultant, California Legislature Joint Committee on Prison Construction and Operations, 1985.

Consultant, United States Bureau of Prisons and United States Department of the Interior (Prison History, Conditions of Confinement Exhibition, Alcatraz Island), 1989-1991.

Consultant to United States Department of Justice, 1980-1990 (evaluation of institutional conditions).

Consultant to California Judicial Council (judicial training programs), 2000.

Consultant to American Bar Association/American Association for Advancement of Science Task Force on Forensic Standards for Scientific Evidence, 2000.

Invited Participant, White House Forum on the Uses of Science and Technology to Improve Crime and Prison Policy, 2000.

Member, Joint Legislative/California Department of Corrections Task Force on Violence, 2001.

Consultant, United States Department of Health & Human Services/Urban Institute, "Effects of Incarceration on Children, Families, and Low-Income Communities" Project, 2002.

Detention Consultant, United States Commission on International Religious Freedom (USCIRF). Evaluation of Immigration and Naturalization Service Detention Facilities, July, 2004-present.

Consultant, International Committee of the Red Cross, Geneva, Switzerland, Consultant on international conditions of confinement.

Member, Institutional Research External Review Panel, California Department of Corrections, November, 2004-2008.

Consultant, United States Department of Health & Human Services on programs designed to enhance post-prison success and community reintegration, 2006.

Consultant/Witness, U.S. House of Representatives, Judiciary Committee, Evaluation of legislative and budgetary proposals concerning the detention of undocumented persons, February-March, 2005.

Invited Expert Witness to National Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons (Nicholas Katzenbach, Chair); Newark, New Jersey, July 19-20, 2005.

Testimony to the United States Senate, Judiciary Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Property Rights (Senators Brownback and Feingold, co-chairs), Hearing on "An Examination of the Death Penalty in the United States," February 7, 2006.

National Council of Crime and Delinquency "Sentencing and Correctional Policy Task Force," member providing written policy recommendations to the California legislature concerning overcrowding crisis in the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.

Trainer/Instructor, Federal Bureau of Prisons and United States Department of Justice, "Correctional Excellence" Program, providing instruction concerning conditions of confinement and psychological stresses of living and working in correctional environments to mid-level management corrections professionals, May, 2004-2008.

Invited Expert Witness, California Commission on the Fair Administration of Justice, Public Hearing, Santa Clara University, March 28, 2008.

Invited Participant, Department of Homeland Security, Mental Health Effects of Detention and Isolation, 2010.

Invited Witness, Before the California Assembly Committee on Public Safety, August 23, 2011.

Consultant, "Reforming the Criminal Justice System in the United States" Joint Working Group with Senator James Webb and Congressional Staffs, 2011 Developing National Criminal Justice Commission Legislation.

Invited Participant, United Nations, Forum with United Nations Special Rapporteur on Torture Concerning the Overuse of Solitary Confinement, New York, October, 2011.

Invited Witness, Before United States Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Human Rights Hearing on Solitary Confinement, June 19, 2012.

Member, National Academy of Sciences Committee to Study the Causes and Consequences of the High Rate of Incarceration in the United States, 2012-2014.

Member, National Academy of Sciences Briefing Group, briefed media and public officials at Pew Research Center, Congressional staff, and White House staff concerning policy implications of The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring the Causes and Consequences (2014), April 30-May 1.

Consultant to United States Department of Justice and White House Domestic Policy Council on formulation of federal policy concerning use of segregation confinement, 2015.

PRISON AND JAIL CONDITIONS EVALUATIONS AND LITIGATION

Hoptowit v. Ray [United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington, 1980; 682 F.2d 1237 (9th Cir. 1982)]. Evaluation of psychological effects of conditions of confinement at Washington State Penitentiary at Walla Walla for United States Department of Justice.

Wilson v. Brown (Marin County Superior Court; September, 1982, Justice Burke). Evaluation of effects of overcrowding on San Quentin mainline inmates.

Thompson v. Enomoto (United States District Court, Northern District of California, Judge Stanley Weigel, 1982 and continuing). Evaluation of conditions of confinement on Condemned Row, San Quentin Prison.

Toussaint v. McCarthy [United States District Court, Northern District of California, Judge Stanley Weigel, 553 F. Supp. 1365 (1983); 722 F. 2d 1490 (9th Cir. 1984) 711 F. Supp. 536 (1989)]. Evaluation of psychological effects of conditions of confinement in lockup units at DVI, Folsom, San Quentin, and Soledad.

In re Priest (Proceeding by special appointment of the California Supreme Court, Judge Spurgeon Avakian, 1983). Evaluation of conditions of confinement in Lake County Jail.

Ruiz v. Estelle [United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Judge William Justice, 503 F. Supp. 1265 (1980)]. Evaluation of effects of overcrowding in the Texas prison system, 1983-1985.

In re Atascadero State Hospital (Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act of 1980 action). Evaluation of conditions of confinement and nature of patient care at ASH for United States Department of Justice, 1983-1984.

In re Rock (Monterey County Superior Court 1984). Appointed to evaluate conditions of confinement in Soledad State Prison in Soledad, California.

In re Mackey (Sacramento County Superior Court, 1985). Appointed to evaluate conditions of confinement at Folsom State Prison mainline housing units.

Bruscino v. Carlson (United States District Court, Southern District of Illinois 1984 1985). Evaluation of conditions of confinement at the United States Penitentiary at Marion, Illinois [654 F. Supp. 609 (1987); 854 F.2d 162 (7th Cir. 1988)].

Dohner v. McCarthy [United States District Court, Central District of California, 1984-1985; 636 F. Supp. 408 (1985)]. Evaluation of conditions of confinement at California Men's Colony, San Luis Obispo.

Invited Testimony before Joint Legislative Committee on Prison Construction and Operations hearings on the causes and consequences of violence at Folsom Prison, June, 1985.

Stewart v. Gates [United States District Court, 1987]. Evaluation of conditions of confinement in psychiatric and medical units in Orange County Main Jail, Santa Ana, California.

Duran v. Anaya (United States District Court, 1987-1988). Evaluation of conditions of confinement in the Penitentiary of New Mexico, Santa Fe, New Mexico [Duran v. Anaya, No. 77-721 (D. N.M. July 17, 1980); Duran v. King, No. 77-721 (D. N.M. March 15, 1984)].

Gates v. Deukmejian (United States District Court, Eastern District of California, 1989). Evaluation of conditions of confinement at California Medical Facility, Vacaville, California.

Kozeak v. McCarthy (San Bernardino Superior Court, 1990). Evaluation of conditions of confinement at California Institution for Women, Frontera, California.

Coleman v. Gomez (United States District Court, Eastern District of California, 1992-3; Magistrate Moulds, Chief Judge Lawrence Karlton, 912 F. Supp. 1282 (1995). Evaluation of study of quality of mental health care in California prison system, special mental health needs at Pelican Bay State Prison.

Madrid v. Gomez (United States District Court, Northern District of California, 1993, District Judge Thelton Henderson, 889 F. Supp. 1146 (N.D. Cal. 1995)). Evaluation of conditions of confinement and psychological consequences of isolation in Security Housing Unit at Pelican Bay State Prison, Crescent City, California.

Clark v. Wilson, (United States District Court, Northern District of California, 1998, District Judge Fern Smith, No. C-96-1486 FMS), evaluation of screening procedures to identify and treatment of developmentally disabled prisoners in California Department of Corrections.

Turay v. Seling [United States District Court, Western District of Washington (1998)]. Evaluation of Conditions of Confinement-Related Issues in Special Commitment Center at McNeil Island Correctional Center.

In re: The Commitment of Durden, Jackson, Leach, & Wilson. [Circuit Court, Palm Beach County, Florida (1999).] Evaluation of Conditions of Confinement in Martin Treatment Facility.

Ruiz v. Johnson [United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, District Judge William Wayne Justice, 37 F. Supp. 2d 855 (SD Texas 1999)]. Evaluation of current conditions of confinement, especially in security housing or “high security” units.

Osterback v. Moore (United States District Court, Southern District of Florida (97-2806-CIV-MORENO) (2001) [see, **Osterback v. Moore**, 531 U.S. 1172 (2001)]. Evaluation of Close Management Units and Conditions in the Florida Department of Corrections.

Valdivia v. Davis (United States District Court, Eastern District of California, 2002). Evaluation of due process protections afforded mentally ill and developmentally disabled parolees in parole revocation process.

Ayers v. Perry (United States District Court, New Mexico, 2003). Evaluation of conditions of confinement and mental health services in New Mexico Department of Corrections “special controls facilities.”

Disability Law Center v. Massachusetts Department of Corrections (Federal District Court, Massachusetts, 2007). Evaluation of conditions of confinement and treatment of mentally ill prisoners in disciplinary lockup and segregation units.

Plata/Coleman v. Schwarzenegger (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Three-Judge Panel, 2008). Evaluation of conditions of confinement, effects of overcrowding on provision of medical and mental health care in California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. [See **Brown v. Plata**, 563 U.S. 493 (2011).]

Ashker v. Brown (United States District Court, Northern District of California, 2013-2015). Evaluation of the effect of long-term isolated confinement in Pelican Bay State Prison Security Housing Unit.

Parsons v. Ryan (United States District Court, District of Arizona, 2012-14). Evaluation of conditions of segregated confinement for mentally ill and non-mentally ill prisoners in statewide correctional facilities.

Dunn v. Dunn (United States District Court, Middle District of Alabama, 2015-2017). Evaluation of mental health care delivery system, overcrowded conditions of confinement, and use of segregation in statewide prison system.